

PACKED SHAMIR SECRET SHARING

NAMAN KUMAR

ABSTRACT. Shamir Secret Sharing is a classical result in cryptography that finds applications in server privacy, distributed computing, MPC, security of P2P networks, and so on. A common variant of Shamir Secret Sharing is *Packed Secret Sharing* (henceforth referred to as PSS) which allows the sharing of *multiple* secrets with small overhead, introduced by [FY92] in the context of parallel invocations of MPC protocols. More recently, has been used to construct highly efficient MPC protocols in the low-security threshold setting. In this document, we will review the classical PSS scheme.

1. THRESHOLD SECRET SHARING

Shamir Secret Sharing is a *threshold* secret sharing scheme. Intuitively, a t -out-of- n threshold secret sharing scheme captures the setting in which n parties hold shares of a secret and *at least* t parties are required to reconstruct the secret. In particular, no $t - 1$ colluding parties can gain any information about the secret. We capture this idea in the definition below.

Definition 1.1 (t -out-of- n threshold secret sharing scheme). *A t -out-of- n threshold secret sharing scheme over a message space \mathcal{M} is a tuple of algorithms (share, reconstruct) such that:*

- $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \leftarrow \text{share}(1^\lambda, m)$ outputs an n -tuple of shares,
- $x \leftarrow \text{reconstruct}(\{y_{i_j}\}_{j \in [t]})$ outputs a message $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

The scheme satisfies the following properties:

- (1) **Correctness:** For all $m \in M$ and any subset $\{i_j\}_{j \in [t]} \subseteq (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ of size t ,

$$\Pr[\text{reconstruct}(\{s_{i_j}\}_{j \in [t]}) = m : (s_1, \dots, s_n) \leftarrow \text{share}(1^\lambda, m)] = 1.$$

- (2) **Perfect Security:** For all messages m, m' and subsets $S \subseteq (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ such that $|S| < t$, for all PPT adversaries \mathcal{A} it holds that

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}(1^\lambda, \{s_i : i \in S\}) = m] = \Pr[\mathcal{A}(1^\lambda, \{s_i : i \in S\}) = m']$$

where the probability is taken over $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \leftarrow \text{share}(1^\lambda, m)$.

2. SHAMIR SECRET SHARING

We begin by reviewing Shamir secret sharing, which works on the principle of *Lagrange interpolation*. Shamir secret sharing is a t -out-of- n secret sharing scheme for any $t \leq n$. The message space is any finite field \mathbb{F}_q .

t -out-of- n Shamir Secret Sharing

Parameters: A security parameter λ , a threshold t and n parties. Let \mathbb{F}_q be the message space \mathcal{M} .

Protocol:

The share algorithm $\text{share}(1^\lambda, m)$.

- (1) The dealer samples $a_1, \dots, a_{t-1} \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ field elements at random and sets $a_0 := m$, constructing the polynomial $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{t-1}x^{t-1}$.

- (2) The dealer computes $s_i := p(i)$ for each $i \in [n]$ and outputs (s_1, \dots, s_n) .

The reconstruct algorithm $\text{reconstruct}(\{y_{i_j}\}_{j \in [t]})$.

- (1) The parties compute the Lagrange basis polynomials

$$L_{i_k}(x) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq k} (x - i_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (i_k - i_j)}$$

for each k .

- (2) The parties compute the polynomial $p'(x) = \sum y_{i_j} L_{i_j}$ and output $p'(0)$.

We can now verify correctness and security. In case the shares are honest, the polynomial $p'(x)$ is the degree- $(t-1)$ polynomial interpolated at the points $\{s_{i_j}\}_{j \in [t]}$, which evaluates to s_{i_j} at i_j . Since p has degree- $(t-1)$, there is a unique polynomial which satisfies this requirement at all t different points. Hence, the interpolated polynomial is p , and the parties can obtain $m = p(0)$.

To verify security, suppose that $t-1$ colluding parties wish to recover m (in case there are less than $t-1$ complete $t-1$ parties by picking random shares). Then for each $m' \in \mathbb{F}_p$, there exists some y' such that $(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{t-1}}, y')$ reconstructs a degree- $(t-1)$ polynomial with m' as the constant coefficient. Since the parties do not know a t th share, the polynomial and thus the secret cannot be recovered.

3. PACKED SECRET SHARING

At a high level, PSS is an extension of Shamir's secret sharing scheme that allows the sharing of k different secrets simultaneously. We introduce the scheme of [FY92], which is a $(t-k, t, k, n)$ -packed secret sharing scheme, where k is the number of secrets, n is the number of parties, t parties are required to recover the secret, and no colluding group of less than $t-k$ parties can gain any information about the secrets. No security guarantee is made about m colluding parties if $t-k \leq m < t$.

Intuitively, the scheme uses additional properties of the polynomial to hide more than a single secret.

$(t-k, t, k, n)$ Packed Shamir Secret Sharing

Parameters: A security parameter λ , a threshold t , number of secrets $k < t$ and n parties. Let \mathbb{F}_q be the message space \mathcal{M} . Let the secrets be (m_1, \dots, m_k) and let $(e_1, \dots, e_k) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ be distinct public values where $\alpha_i \neq e_j$ for any i, j .

Protocol:

The share algorithm $\text{share}(1^\lambda, (m_1, \dots, m_k))$.

- (1) The dealer samples any degree- $(t-1)$ polynomial p such that $p(e_i) = m_i$ for all $i \in [k]$.
Note that there are at least q such polynomials since $t-1 \geq k$.
- (2) The dealer sets $s_i = p(\alpha_i)$ for all $i \in [n]$.

The reconstruct algorithm $\text{reconstruct}(\{y_{i_j}\}_{j \in [t]})$.

- (1) The parties compute the Lagrange basis polynomials

$$L_{i_k}(x) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq k} (x - \alpha_{i_j})}{\prod_{j \neq k} (\alpha_{i_k} - \alpha_{i_j})}$$

for each k .

- (2) The parties compute the polynomial $p'(x) = \sum y_{i_j} L_{i_j}$ and output $(p'(e_1), \dots, p'(e_k))$.

The correctness of the protocol follows from a similar argument to the correctness of Shamir Secret Sharing. For security, note that we can write $p(x) = q(x) \prod (x - e_i) + \sum m_i L_{e_i}(x)$ where $q(x)$ is a random degree- $(t-k)$ polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q . Thus $(t-k)$ or less colluding parties cannot find any information about

$q(x)$. However, more than $(t - k)$ colluding parties could obtain additional data points, for example $q(\alpha_j)$ for certain values of j in the colluding group.

REFERENCES

- [FY92] Matthew Franklin and Moti Yung. Communication complexity of secure computation (extended abstract). In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '92, page 699710, New York, NY, USA, 1992. Association for Computing Machinery.